

ANDREW M. CUOMO Governor HOWARD A. ZUCKER, M.D., J.D. Commissioner

SALLY DRESLIN, M.S., R.N. Executive Deputy Commissioner

October 4, 2018

CERTIFIED MAIL/RETURN RECEIPT

Dov Lebovic, Administrator Concourse Rehabilitation & Nursing Center 1072 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10456

Concourse Renabilitation & Nursing Center 1072 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10456

RE: In the Matter of

- Discharge Appeal

Dear Parties:

Enclosed please find the Decision After Hearing in the above referenced matter. This Decision is final and binding.

The party who did not prevail in this hearing may appeal to the courts pursuant to the provisions of Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules. If the party wishes to appeal this decision it may seek advice from the legal resources available (e.g. their attorney, the County Bar Association, Legal Aid, etc.). Such an appeal must be commenced within four (4) months from the date of this Decision.

Sincerely,

James F. Horan Chief Administrative Law Judge Bureau of Adjudication

JFH: cmg Enclosure

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH



DECISION

In the Matter of an Appeal, pursuant to 10 NYCRR § 415.3, by

Appellant,

from a determination by

Concourse Rehabilitation and Nursing Center,

Respondent,

to discharge him from a residential health care facility.

Hearing Before:

Natalie J. Bordeaux

Administrative Law Judge

Hearing Location:

Concourse Rehabilitation and Nursing Center

1072 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10456

Hearing Date:

September 7, 2018

Parties:

Concourse Rehabilitation and Nursing Center

By:

Marvin Neiman, Esq. Neiman & Mairanz P.C.

39 Broadway

New York, NY 10006

JURISDICTION

By notice dated 2018, Concourse Rehabilitation and Nursing Center (the Facility), a residential health care facility subject to Article 28 of the New York Public Health Law (PHL), determined to discharge (the Appellant). The Appellant appealed the discharge determination to the New York State Department of Health (the Department) pursuant to 10 NYCRR § 415.3(h).

HEARING RECORD

Facility witnesses: Jacob Bokow, Business Office Employee

Antonia Collado, MSW, Social Worker

Facility exhibits: 1_ Discharge Notice)

2018 Invoice)

3 (Resident Face Sheet)

016 Notice of Acceptance of Nursing

Home Medicaid Application) 5 (Admission Agreement)

6 (Progress note entere 2018)

Appellant witnesses:

Appellant exhibits: A (April 2, 2018 Social Security award letter)

The notice of hearing and discharge notice were marked as ALJ Exhibit I. Digital recordings of the hearing and September 20, 2018 conference call were made and are referenced as "Disc 1" and "Disc 2", respectively.

ISSUES

Has Concourse Rehabilitation and Nursing Center established that its determination to discharge the Appellant was necessary and the discharge plan appropriate?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Appellant is a sever-old Medicaid recipient who was admitted to the Facility on 2016. (Facility Exhibit 3.)

- 2. On 2016, Facility Social Worker Laura Salcedo met with the Appellant to explain the Appellant's responsibility to pay net available monthly income (NAMI) to the Facility, which would be determined by Medicaid computations. That same day, he signed an admission agreement confirming that he would remit any NAMI determined by the Appellant's Medicaid budget to the Facility. (Facility Exhibit 5; Disc 1 @ 16:30.)
- The Department of Social Services determined that the Appellant was required to pay the Facility a NAMI starting 2016 and each month thereafter.

 (Facility Exhibit 4.)
- 4. By notice dated 2018, the Facility determined to discharge the Appellant on 2018 because he has failed after reasonable and appropriate notice, to pay for his stay. The notice proposes to discharge the Appellant to Rehabilitation and

(Facility Exhibit 1.)

- 5. As c 2018, the Appellant has an outstanding balance of the resulting from the Appellant's failure to pay the NAMI each month since 2016. (Facility Exhibit 2.)
- 6. The Appellant remains at Concourse Rehabilitation and Nursing Center pending the outcome of this appeal.

APPLICABLE LAW

A residential heath care facility (also referred to in the regulations as a nursing home) is a facility which provides regular nursing, medical, rehabilitative, and professional services to residents who do not require hospitalization. PHL §§ 2801(2)&(3); 10 NYCRR § 415.2(k).

Regulations at 10 NYCRR § 415.3(h) describe the transfer and discharge rights of residential health care facility residents. They state, in pertinent part:

- (1) With regard to the transfer or discharge of residents, the facility shall:
 - (i) permit each resident to remain in the facility, and not transfer or discharge the resident from the facility unless such transfer or discharge is made in recognition of the resident's rights to receive considerate and respectful care, to receive necessary care and services, and to participate in the development of the comprehensive care plan and in recognition of the rights of other residents in the facility:

(b) transfer and discharge shall also be permissible when the resident has failed, after reasonable and appropriate notice, to pay for (or to have paid under Medicare, Medicaid, or third-party insurance) a stay at the facility.

The residential health care facility must prove by substantial evidence that the discharge was necessary, and the discharge plan was appropriate. 10 NYCRR § 415.3(h)(2)(iii); State Administrative Procedure Act § 306(1).

DISCUSSION

The Appellant was admitted to the Facility on 2016. Since 2016, the Appellant's receipt of Nursing Home Medicaid is subject to a NAMI. (Facility Exhibit 4.) By notice dated 2018, the Facility advised the Appellant of its determination to discharge him on 2018 because he has failed to pay for his stay at Concourse Rehabilitation and Nursing Center. (Facility Exhibit 1.) The Appellant was presented the discharge notice after refusing to discuss his outstanding financial liability with a finance employee. (Facility Exhibit 6; Disc 1 @ 15:08.)

The amount of the Appellant's NAMI is not in dispute.¹ The Appellant has made no payments to the Facility, despite acknowledging that "nothing comes for free." He has retained control of his personal funds, as his monthly Social Security benefits are deposited into his own bank account. (Disc 2 @ 4:40.) More than two months after the discharge determination, the Appellant has still not remitted payment to the Facility.

During a follow-up conference call on September 20, 2018, the Appellant expressed a willingness to pay a varying amount, ranging from per month, contending that he needs to keep some money in his pocket. The Facility's Finance Department has flatly refused to accept such payment offer as a resolution. (Disc 2 @ 5:00.) The Appellant's offered monthly payment would be lower than the Appellant's NAMI amount per month and would certainly not reduce the current outstanding balance. The Facility has established that its determination to discharge the Appellant for failure to pay for the cost of his stay was necessary.

Regarding its discharge plan, the Facility purports to discharge the Appellant to

(Facility Exhibit 1.) Concourse Rehabilitation and Nursing Center is situated in the Bronx, another of New York City's five boroughs. The Appellant has no available home in the community, and requires skilled nursing assistance, thereby requiring a transfer to another residential health care facility.

a nursing home located in the

The Appellant contended that the Facility's discharge plan is unsuitable because he has neither friends nor family in the Despite receiving the discharge notice in 2018, the Appellant has suggested no alternate location to which he would agree to be discharged.

¹ The Appellant was afforded two weeks after the date of this hearing to verify the accuracy of his budget with a local Medicaid office, during which he received confirmation that the computed NAMI was and remains correct. (Disc 2 @ 1:20.)

Although he insisted that the Department of

would be able to provide a

home for him, there is no evidence of such help arriving in the immediate future. The

Appellant's name remains on a waiting list. (Disc 2 @, 8:12.)

The Facility's attorney represented that a previous employee had contacted several other

nursing homes before resorting to placement of the Appellant at the

addition, a current employee contacted at least six other nursing homes, all of which rejected the

Appellant's placement because of the outstanding financial liability. (Disc 2 @ 10:46.)

The Facility was required to provide a discharge plan which addresses the Appellant's

medical needs and how these will be met after discharge. 10 NYCRR § 415.3(h)(1)(vi). The

Facility's discharge plan, notwithstanding the Appellant's displeasure with

appropriate for the Appellant's medical needs. Although the Appellant is encouraged to continue

to pursue other discharge options, he is not permitted to remain at Concourse Rehabilitation for

an indefinite period until he identifies a discharge location that he finds more agreeable. The

Facility has established that its discharge plan is appropriate.

DECISION

Concourse Rehabilitation and Nursing Center has established that its determination to discharge the Appellant was necessary and its discharge plan appropriate.

Dated: October 3, 2018

New York, New York

Natalie J. Bordeaux

Administrative Law Judge